



CITY DISTRICT GOVERNMENT KARACHI
OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DISTRICT OFFICER
MUNICIPAL SERVICES GROUP OF OFFICES

No. EDO/MS/CDGK/CM/ 413 /09

Dated: 29-10-2009

Director General,
 National Accountability Bureau (Sindh),
 Government of Pakistan,
KARACHI.

Handwritten note: M/s. Meraj Limited

SUBJECT: SUPPLY OF FIRE TENDERS OF 2000 US GALLONS FOR CDGK (12 NO.) AGAINST DIST. ADP FUND.

Referring your letter No. CVC-380/NAB Sindh/2009/1095 dated 07-10-2009

it is to inform that:


1. The following correspondences / reports / comments from CDGK are enclosed for perusal:
 - a) Minutes of the Meeting of Purchase Evaluation Committee of CDGK issued vide No. DO / MPD / MS / 280 / 09 dated 12-01-2009.
 - b) Reply to M/s. Transparency International, Pakistan vide this office No. DO/MPD/CDGK/09/09 dated 22-08-2009.
 - c) Objection raised by SPPRA vide their letter No. Dir (A&F) / SPPRA / 37-1(CDGK)/08-09/575 dated 15-09-2009
 - d) Reply to SPPRA by CDGK vide this office No. DO (CM)/MS/CDGK/286/09 dated 19-09-2009
 - e) Submission of CDGK as highlighted in the Minutes of Meeting with SPPRA on 28-09-2009 issued by their Office vide No. Dir (A&F)/SPPRA/37-1 (CDGK) 08-09/656 dated 29-09-2009.
2. The raised query is based on the conflicting point of evaluation in the manner of accepting the one of the two quoted bid by the same bidder on one tender document i.e. M/s. Pakistan Vehicle Engineering (Pvt.) Ltd. (PVEP) on two different chassis i.e. Hino and Nissan.
3. The cost of the difference as mentioned in the subject is certainly the difference of cost in the chassis.
4. The matter regarding higher tendered cost is not the reality when we compare the tender on Hino Chassis among all bidders the lowest bidder is M/s. Meraj Limited with cost of Rs 59,50,000/- Per Unit on Hino Chassis against the 2nd lowest (complainant) of Rs 61,47,786/- Per Unit on Hino Chassis.

5. The report of CDGK is enclosed at Annex "A" and observation of CDGK (highlighted) during meeting with SPPRA is the main reason of different understanding.

It is further stated that how one bidder may be allowed to quote different alternate bid and why not he should also be sincered in quoting their bid by mentioning main offer. The CDGK certainly picked up the offer "A" which is on Hino Chassis, the chassis largely used in our Fire Fleet and Other Operation Vehicles in use in CDGK and comperable with other bidders.

Since SPPRA finally concluded to consider all responsive bids for evaluation purpose. So the proceeding of procurement, which was already kept in abeyance on the already issued directives of SPPRA vide their No. Dir (A&F)/SPPRA/ 37-1(CDGK)/ 08-09/575 dated 15-09-2009, is being reviewed accordingly.

However CDGK requesting SPPRA to include a detailed mechanism of evaluation of the alternate bid proposals which presently allow bidder to bid unlimited and did not restrict the bidder to offer their list financial and nearest to the conforming technical specification of the bid.


Executive District Officer
MS, CDGK

C.C to:-

1. City Nazim, Karachi.
2. District Coordination Officer, Karachi.
3. Managing Director, Sindh PPRA, Karachi.
4. Managing Director, Transparency International, Pakistan.
5. Office File.